

App. F. IX-4

1946-47

File No. 322-46

Serial Nos. 2

Previous References.

Op. 280-45 (1-4)
Police 59-43 (1-2) Ch 322-46-1
" 51-36 (1-2)
" 112-32 (1-5)
Later References.
Ch. 215 or 219. 50

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. One

Part B for

July 1947.

SUBJECT

Confirmation of Mr. N.M. Balu Reddy, Senior
Subintendent of Police in the appointment with effect
from 15-11-1946

No. 649

D. 3.7.1947

Notifies the ..

- The Comptroller, Mysore Gazette

18/7/47

Serial
No.

20. Letter no. A.C. 2317/45-46 dated 6th April 1947 from the S.G. of Police, recommending in the circumstances explained that Mr B. Thimmaraaj Urs may be appointed permanent Sr. Supt. of Police with effect from 15/11/1946.

1) Dewan's orders in paras 31 and 32 of the notes in C.P. file no. 322-46 (1) :-

" Both Mr. Thimmaraaj Urs and Mr. Babu Reddy may be appointed Senior Superintendent S.P.T from 15-11-46.

" As regards who should be first confirmed this will depend on the settlement of seniority between them which I had no time to consider and which will be considered at the end of April 1947."

Submitted

2) In G.O. no. P. 5375-77 / Pol. 112-32-5 dated 13th April 1933, the undermentioned persons were appointed as Police Probationers in the order given below :-

(1) Mr. N. N. Babu Reddy

(2) " B. Thimmaraaj Urs.

Both reported themselves for duty on 1st May 1933. They were on completion of the probationary period of two years were confirmed as Asst. Superintendents of Police with effect from 1st May 1935, while sending up recommendation for confirming

Serial
No.

them as Asst. Superintendents of Police, Mr Hamilton, the then D.G. of Police wrote in his letter no. C.C. 21/36-37 dated 3rd Sep. 1936,

as follows:-

"I place Mr B. Thimmaraj Urs a above Mr N.V. Babu Reddy, as he has given satisfaction by his work and conduct while I have had reason to complain of the manner in which Mr Babu Reddy has conducted himself."

This opinion of Mr. Hamilton was accepted by Govt and Mr Babu Reddy was placed below Mr Thimmaraj Urs, in the orders approving their confirmation.

) There appears to be nothing on record to show that Mr Babu Reddy was given an opportunity for vindication of his own grounds against such a remark. ~~no action~~ ^{also} and it is ^{also} not known why Mr Babu Reddy ~~never~~ also did not urge for his restoration till 1943. Mr Hamilton, however, in the conf. Report on the character & work of Mr Babu Reddy on 8-9-1937, a year after his recommendation to supersede Mr Babu Reddy, has recorded the following remarks:-

"..... Has, I think, been wrongly held responsible for acts which were not his"

L"

N"

O"

Serial
No.

4) Representations that were submitted by Mr. Babu Reddy for restoration to his original rank in November 1943 and in June 1946, were not viewed with favour. Kind references is also invited to the discussions in Pol. file no. 59-43 (182) and no. 280-45 (1-4) placed below.

5) The relative rank of Messrs Thimmaraaj Urs and Babu Reddy is for orders.

6) The conf. Reports of the two officers are put up

Impf 15/4/47 7) In view of what the Dewan has mentioned in paras. 31 & 32 of the notes in C.B. file no 322-46(1), it appears to be necessary that the Qn. of ~~as to who is senior~~ as to who between Mr Thimmaraaj Urs and Mr Babu Reddy is Senior, has perhaps to be considered.

As explained in para 2 of the notes, Mr Babu Reddy was appointed as a Police Probationer in April 1933 along with Mr B. Thimmaraaj Urs and was placed above Mr Thimmaraaj Urs in the order of appointment.

In the ordinary course & following the well known principle enunciated in G.O of 12-12-1893 & amended by G.O of 23-12-1916 and more pointedly recorded in the preface to the Civil list as published year after year, "the Govt

Serial
No.

to not generally settle the relative rank in the case of a batch of probationary and Commissioners or other officers appointed at or about the same time. Such rank must be finally settled before the probationary period is over and the probationary officers appointed to the regular grades. When this is done, their relative rank should be deduced from the order of appointment in accordance with the principle laid down in the same Board order viz their rank should follow the order in which their names are entered.

Some how, in the year 1936 when question of Confirmation of these two probationers was taken up, Mr Hamilton seems to have ~~not to prefer Mr~~ chosen to place Mr Thumma above Mr Babu Reddy, though admittedly and ~~for~~ according to the above ^{he places} Mr Babu Reddy should have been ~~not~~ have been disturbed ^{he} and should have been confirmed first.

The reason given by Mr Hamilton, when I G. of Police, was that Mr Thumma appears to have given him satisfaction by his conduct while he had had reason to complain of the manner in which Mr Babu Reddy conducted himself. Unfortunately Mr Hamilton has not recorded ~~what exactly~~ the circumstances that led him to form

Serial
No.

his adverse opinion about Mr Babu Reddy. He has not indicated what exactly was the ground of Mr Babu Reddy that Compelled him to Complain against him to Govt and get him removed by one place.

In fact Mr Anjey Chav as D of Police while forwarding the representation of Mr Babu Reddy for restoration of his place states in his letter dated 13-11-43 that it is only in the Civil list as corrected up to 1-7-1937 that the name of Mr Babu Reddy has been shown below Mr B. Thimmaraaj Iers Amongst the A.S.P.s, in consequence of which Mr B. Thimmaraaj Iers got his subsequent promotion as D.S.P. earlier than Mr Babu Reddy. He states that his office is unable to make out from the records available how & why this change was done.

The loss of a place is certainly a punishment of some magnitude in an officer's career and Mr Hamilton does not appear to have chosen to give the officer concerned an opportunity to explain his own conduct if any. The lack of this opportunity to explain his conduct, if it was in any manner reprehensible, is certainly a point in

Serial
No.

power of Mr Balu Reddy. If a suitable opportunity had been given to him, he would have probably explained himself and there would perhaps have been no necessity for any adverse report about him.

Apart from the above, the period of service put in by this officer in a regular charge was too short to judge him either by his work or conduct and award such heavy punishment by removing him by place. He was recruited on 1-5-1933, completed his probationary period probably on 1-5-35. It must be a little over a year for when these adverse remarks were passed against him, as they were recorded on 3-9-36.

But my most redeeming feature in the whole Chapter is that Mr Hamilton himself in his Confidential report of the next year as recorded on 8-9-1937, almost a year after the above adverse remarks were passed, has said of this officer as follows: -

"His work has improved. Has been wrongly held responsible for things which were not his. Has a difficult Division (Chiemapalia) and has shown some capacity in running it."

Even here, Mr Hamilton has not indicated what exactly were the acts

Serial
No.

for which he was wrongly held -
responsible.

The above remarks clearly indicate that Mr Hamilton had some notions or impressions about Mr Balu Reddy which he could not record or point out to Govt - and which he has attempted to rectify subsequently & record at the earliest opportunity that he was wrong to have entertained any such impressions. But no wrong done to Mr Balu Reddy based upon these wrong impressions does not appear to have been rectified.

The recommendation of Mr Hamilton based on these impressions was however accepted and Mr Balu Reddy was placed below Mr Thimmaraaj Urs. Through Mr Hamilton realised his mistake as is evident from his subsequent year's Confidential report, he did not follow up the case and secure the legitimate rank of to Mr Balu Reddy, for reasons best known to him. A year after this, Mr Hamilton left the Service and nothing seems to have been done in his behalf till Mr Balu Reddy submitted his representation.

Mr Balu Reddy has represented on two occasions, but both of them

Serial
No.

one been termed Doren mainly on the
basis that such questions could not
be raised after a lapse of several

It has however to be submitted
that in either of these occasions when
Doren was preferred his representations
Hamilton's subsequent remarks that
"Dalen Reedy" has been wrongly held
responsible for acts which were not
done or considered. Of these subsequent
remarks of Mr Hamilton has been
given due consideration, it would have
probably that the whole question
of responsibility would have been reviewed
from a perspective, without reference
to the distance of time involved in the
case.

Mr Dalen Reedy is at present
Senior Supt of Police (S.P.T.) and in
a service of nearly 14 years, his record
is excellent, except in ^{one} or two years at
the beginning of his official career & that
his over enthusiasm towards work,
has been very good. The latest ^{Confidential} reports
show in the nature of high appreciation
his work than mere recognition on his character
and work ^{as an} officer. As is evident from
the L.O of 29-5-1943. Govt ~~have~~ recognizes "G"

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

4424

of 194

Serial
No.

the valuable services rendered by him
as the D.S.P. Provincial Reserve Police
in addition to what he did in being
the head in the Dossur murder case and
quelling the disturbances of Aug 1942.
and sanctioned a personal allowance
of Rs 50/-

As his present record of Service
is one of proved merit and his loss of
place was due to certain wrong impressions
of the then Head of Dept, which as
explained above were found to be
subsequently incorrect - as admitted by
the same officer, it may not be out of
place or out of time to reconsider
the question of Seniority between the
two officers and restore Mr Babu
Reddy to his original rank and place
here above Mr Theinmaraj Rao

(Chief Secy)

8 sep
17/4/43

7285
18/4

3.54
3.6.47

Chief Secy

Chief Secy

ARM

3/5/43

NOTE - Contn. Sheet

2/6/43

The main grounds on which it was
considered inexpedient & unnecessary to reconsider
this matter, were that the then I.G.P. must have
based his recommendation on adequate reasons,
and that the decision itself was taken so long
ago as 1936, by Govt.

The circumstances of the case, however,
justify the matter being reopened and decided
merit.

M. Home
& Dewan

21/4/43

Relative rank of Messrs. Baker, Rizzo & Thompson

File No.

of 194

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No.

Submits

Draft air note is put up

(Chief Secy)

8/6/47

83-18
6/6

8/6/47

(Circular meeting)

10/1

12/1/47

13/6

12/1/47
For consideration
of a Meeting of Council
10/1
18/1/47

CIRCULATION NOTE.

Subject:- Relative rank of Messrs. B. Thimmaraj Urs and N. V. Babu Reddy in the cadre of Superintendents of Police.

In Government Order No. P. 5375-77/Pol. 112-32-5 dated 13th April 1933, the undermentioned candidates were appointed Probationers in the Police Department in the order given below:-

- (1) Mr. N. V. Babu Reddy.
- (2) " B. Thimmaraj Urs.

Both reported themselves for duty on 1st May 1933. On completion of the probationary period of two years, they were confirmed as Assistant Superintendents of Police from 1st May 1935. While sending up the recommendation for the confirmation of these two officers as Assistant Superintendents of Police, Mr. Hamilton, the then Inspector-General of Police wrote as follows:-

" I place Mr. B. Thimmaraj Urs above Mr. N. V. Babu Reddy, as he has given satisfaction by his work and conduct, while I have had reason to complain of the manner in which Mr. Babu Reddy has conducted himself".

The opinion of Mr. Hamilton was accepted by Government and Mr. Babu Reddy was placed below Mr. Thimmaraj Urs in the order approving their confirmation.

Mr. Hamilton has not recorded the circumstances that led him to form his adverse opinion about Mr. Babu Reddy. Nor has he indicated what exactly was the conduct of Mr. Babu Reddy that made him complain against him (Mr. Babu Reddy) to Government and got him reduced by one place.

Mr. Abdul Aziz Khan, as Inspector-General of Police, while forwarding the representation of Mr. Babu Reddy for restoration of his place, stated that it was only in the Civil List as corrected up to 1st July 1937 that the name of Mr. Babu Reddy has been shown below Mr. Thimmaraj Urs among the Assistant Superintendents of Police and that in

consequence, Mr. Thimmaraj Urs got his subsequent promotion as Superintendent of Police earlier than Mr. Babu Reddy. He further stated that his office was unable to make out from the records available how and why this change was made. Mr. Hamilton himself in his Confidential Report of the very next year as recorded on 8th September 1937, a year after the above adverse remarks were passed, has said of Mr. Babu Reddy as follows:-

"His work has improved. Has I think, been wrongly held responsible for acts which were not his. Has a difficult Sub Dn. (Channapatna) and has shown some capacity in running it".

On this occasion also, Mr. Hamilton has not indicated what exactly were the acts for which he was wrongly held responsible. The above remarks indicate that Mr. Hamilton had certain impressions about Mr. Babu Reddy the exact basis for which could not or in any case did not record or point out at the time to Government and that on subsequent verification he has modified his opinion and impressions about Mr. Babu Reddy and his work. But the harm done to Mr. Babu Reddy based upon these erroneous first impressions does not appear to have been rectified. A year after this, Mr. ~~Mr.~~ Hamilton left the service and nothing seems to have been done in this behalf till Mr. Babu Reddy submitted his representation.


Mr. Babu Reddy has made representations on two occasions in the past regarding this matter. On both occasions, the requests were turned down mainly on the ground that such questions could not be re-opened after the lapse of several years. The subsequent remarks of Mr. Hamilton that Mr. Babu Reddy "has been wrongly held responsible for acts which were not his" do not seem to have been noted or taken into consideration, while taking the above decisions.

The main grounds on which it was considered inexpedient and unnecessary in the past, to reconsider this matter were that the then Inspector-General of Police must have based his recommendations on adequate grounds and that the decision itself was taken so long ago as 1936, by Government. The circumstances of the case, however, appear to justify the matter being re-opened and decided on merits.

The Dewan has ordered that the question may be considered at

a meeting of the Council.

W.P. 12/10/77
Chief Secretary to Government.



Extract from the Proceedings of the SEVENTY THIRD Meeting of the
Council of Ministers held at Bangalore on 18TH JUNE 1947

SUBJECT:-

Proposal to fix the relative rank of Messrs.
B. Thimmaraj Urs and N.V. Babu Reddy in the cadre of
Superintendents of police.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

In view of the revised opinion of Mr. Hamilton, the
then Inspector General of Police and the continued good
record of Mr. Babu Reddy, the seniority due to him is
restored.

(Sd) A. RAMASWAMI MUDALIAR.

Dewan of Mysore.

D.F.V.

101

11/11/47

17/6.

D. J. N. Submitted

20/6/47

Chief Secy)

20/6/47

Min Home)

20/6/47

20/6.

Recd

"EXTRACT"

Chief Secretary to Government.

Signed copies
of D.N. are placed
in the file.
Hus
21/6/47.

21/6/47

DSN for amle.

Dewan) APR 28/6 21/6/47

Final Note by the Minister for Home.

Subject—

Proposal to fix the relative rank of Messrs.B.Thimmaraj Urs and N.V. Babu Reddy in the cadre of Superintendents of Police.

In Government Order No.P.5375-77/Pol.112-32-5 dated 13th April 1933, the undermentioned candidates were appointed as Probationers/in the Police Department in the order given below:-

(1) Mr. N.V. Babu Reddy

(2) Mr. B. Thimmaraj Urs.

Both reported themselves for duty on 1st May 1933. On completion of the probationary period of two years, they were confirmed as Assistant Superintendents of Police with effect from 1st May 1935. While sending up the recommendation for the confirmation of these two officers as Assistant Superintendents of Police, Mr.Hamilton, the then Inspector-General of Police wrote as follows:-

"I place Mr.B.Thimmaraj Urs above Mr.N.V.Babu Reddy, as he has given satisfaction by his work and conduct, while I have had reason to complain of the manner in which Mr.Babu Reddy has conducted himself."

The opinion of Mr. Hamilton was accepted and Mr.Babu Reddy was placed below Mr.Thimmaraj Urs in the order approving their confirmation.

Mr.Hamilton has not recorded the circumstances that led him to form his adverse opinion about Mr.Babu Reddy. Nor has he indicated what exactly was the conduct of Mr.Babu Reddy that made him complain against Mr.Babu Reddy to Government and got him reduced by one place. Mr.Abdul Aziz Khan as Inspector-General of Police, while forwarding the representation of Mr.Babu Reddy for restoration of his place, has stated that it was in the Civil List as corrected upto 1st July 1937 that the name of Mr.Babu Reddy has been shown below Mr.Thimmaraj Urs among the Assistant Superintendents of Police and that, in consequence, Mr.Thimmaraj Urs got his subsequent promotion as Superintendent of Police earlier than Mr.Babu Reddy. He has further stated that his office was unable to make out from the records

available, how and why this change was made. Mr. Hamilton himself in his Confidential Report of the very next year as recorded on 8th September 1937, a year after the above adverse remarks were passed, has said of Mr. Babu Reddy as follows:-

"His work has improved. Has I think been wrongly held responsible for acts which were not his. Has a difficult Sub Division (Channapatna) and has shown some capacity in running it."

On this occasion also Mr. Hamilton has not indicated what exactly were the acts for which he was wrongly held responsible. The above remarks indicate that Mr. Hamilton had certain impressions about Mr. Babu Reddy the exact basis for which he could not or in any case did not record or point out at the time to Government and that on subsequent verification he has modified his opinion and impressions about Mr. Babu Reddy and his work. But the harm done to Mr. Babu Reddy based upon these erroneous first impressions does not appear to have been rectified. A year after this, Mr. Hamilton left the service and nothing seems to have been done in this behalf till Mr. Babu Reddy submitted his representation.

Mr. Babu Reddy has made representations on two occasions in the past regarding this matter. On both occasions, the request was turned down on the ground that such questions could not be re-opened after the lapse of several years. The main grounds on which it was considered inexpedient and unnecessary in the past to reconsider this matter were that the then Inspector-General of Police must have based his recommendations on adequate grounds and that the decision itself was taken so long ago as 1936, by Government. The circumstances of the case, however, appear to justify the matter being re-opened and decided on merits.

The question of relative rank of Messrs. B. Thimmaraj Urs and N. V. Babu Reddy in the cadre of Superintendents was considered at a meeting of the Council held on 18th June 1947, when the following resolution was recorded:-

"In view of the revised opinion of Mr. Hamilton, the then Inspector-General of Police, and the continued good record of Mr. Babu Reddy, the seniority due to him is restored."

I solicit the gracious approval of His Highness to the resolution of the Council.

Bangalore,
21st June 1947.

M. J. Jadhav

MINISTER FOR HOME.

Submitted to . His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Minister for Home regarding the proposal to fix the relative rank of Messrs. B. Thimmaraj Urs and N.V. Babu Reddy in the cadre of Superintendents of Police for the gracious approval of His Highness.

Bangalore,
24th June 1947.

A. Ramaswami Mudaliar
D E W A N.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of
His Highness the Maharaja.



Subject.

Proposal to fix the relative rank of
Messrs. B. Thimmaraj Urs and N.V. Babu Reddy
in the cadre of Superintendents of Police.

1270

Order.

SW.
26/6/47.

Chief Secy

ARU
35/6

C/283

SUBJECT:—

Proposal to fix the relative rank of
Messrs. B. Thimmaraj Urs and N. V. Babu Reddy
in the cadre of Superintendents of Police.

(*)

14. All urgent or confidential
files returned with His Highness' orders
may, in the absence of instructions or
indications to the contrary, be ordi-
narily circulated to the Ministers
for perusal after the issue of orders.

16. The Secretaries concerned
should see that orders in the cases
referred to above are issued at once and
that the files are returned immediately
after, to the Chief Secretary for circu-
lation to the Ministers.

17. In all other cases, the files will
first be circulated to the Ministers
at Headquarters and then sent to the
Secretariat concerned for the issue
of orders.

Circulated to Council of Ministers for perusal of His
Highness' orders before/after issue of orders. (Vide Rules
14, 16 and 17 of the Subsidiary Rules of the Mysore Government
Business Rules.) (*)

C.B.

Through the Secretary to Government,

.....Department.

Chief Secretary to Government.
17/7

1. Minister for Education & Public Health.

2. Minister for Law.

3. Minister for Agriculture and Public Works.

4. Minister for Home.

5. Minister for Revenue and Communications.

Secretary to Government
Department
(with compliments)

C.B.

NOTE.—Copy sheet

Submitted

A Draft order is put out

21/7/47) Issue & circulation.

21/7/47

Issued. No. 497 3-7-47.

235

9/7/47

244
15-7-47

479
16/7/47

8
4-7-47

281
7-7-47

19/7

J.F.G.
18/7/47

14/7/47

15-2-47

5/7

8

7/7


17

O. No. A.C.2317/45-46.

C. M. H. RANAJODHA SINGH, Esq., B.A.,
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

BANGALORE

6th April 1947




Dear Sir,

With reference to Government Notification No. 4239/CB.322-46-1 of 1-4-1947, regarding the appointment of Senior Superintendents of Police, I write to invite kind reference to my D.O. letter No. AC.2137/45-46 dated 4-2-1947 recommending inter-alia that Mr. B. Thimmaraj Urs, be appointed as Senior Superintendent of Police in one of the two posts sanctioned in Government Order No. 2207-8/CB.162-46-1 dated 15-11-46. In the Government notification under advertance, Mr. Thimmaraj Urs is appointed S.P.T. though the vacancy admits of permanent arrangements.

I therefore recommend that Mr. B. Thimmaraj Urs may be appointed permanent Senior Superintendent of Police, with effect from 15th November 1946.

Yours sincerely,




V. Vedavyasacharya, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

8
7/4


D. O. No. CC. 114/46-47

M. H. RANAJODHA SINGH, Esq., B.A.,
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.



BANGALORE

21st May 1947



near Mr. vedavyasacharya,

I write to invite kind reference to
this office letters No. CC.114/46-47 dated 30-11-46
and A.C. No. 2317/45-46 dated 6-4-47 regarding the
confirmation of certain D.S.Ps and A.S.P.'s and
the confirmation of Mr. B.Thimmaraj Urs, as senior
superintendent of Police, respectively.

I request that orders of government in
the matter, may kindly be communicated.

Yours sincerely,

V. vedavyasacharya

V. vedavyasacharya, Esq., B.A.,
chief secretary to the government of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

CB
23/5

23/5

49 / CH 322-46-2
3/7/47
Notification

Mr. N. V. Babu Reddy, B. A.,
Sr. Supt. of Police, S.P.T. is
confirmed in the appointment
with effect from 15th Nov. 1946.

By order

2/7/47
Ch. Secy to Govt.

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette for
publication.

Memo no 50 Dated

Copy of the above is
forwarded with compliment to
the Comptroller to Govt with the
intimation that Mr N. V. Babu
Reddy is confirmed as Sr
Supt. of Police against the
post sanctioned in Gov. No -
2207-8/CS, 162-46-1 dated 15-11-46.

2/7/47
Ch. Secy to Govt.

51/CS

Copies to S. G. of Police

Copy to P.M. for

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

No. 42/C.B.322-46-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated Bangalore, 3rd JULY 1947.

NOTIFICATION.

Mr. N. V. Babu Reddy, B.A., Senior Superintendent of Police, Sub pro tem, is confirmed in the appointment with effect from 15th November 1946.

By order,

(sd.) V. Vedavyasacharya,

Chief Secretary to Government.

To The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication.

...

Memo No.50/C.B.

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to the Comptroller to Govt., with the intimation that Mr. N. V. Babu Reddy is confirmed as Senior Supdt. of Police against the post sanctioned in G. O. No.2207-8/C.B.162-46-1 dated 15th Novr. 1946.

Am
3/7/47
For Chief Secretary to Govt.
R

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

No. 49/C.B.322-46-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated Bangalore, 3rd JULY 1947.

NOTIFICATION

Mr. N. V. Babu Reddy, B.A., Senior Superintendent of Police, sub pro tem, is confirmed in the appointment with effect from 15th November 1946.

By order,

(sd.) V. Vedavyasacharya,

Chief Secretary to Government.

To The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication.

...